APPLICATION NO: 14/00938/FUL		OFFICER: Mrs Lucy White
DATE REGISTERED: 28th May 2014		DATE OF EXPIRY: 23rd July 2014
WARD: Warden Hill		PARISH: LECKH
APPLICANT:	Mr Steven Williams	
LOCATION:	126 Warden Hill Road, Cheltenham	
PROPOSAL:	Two storey side and single storey r	ear extension

REPRESENTATIONS

Number of contributors	2
Number of objections	2
Number of representations	0
Number of supporting	0

128 Warden Hill Road Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL51 3EH

Comments: 17th June 2014

I write, on behalf of my wife and myself, with reference to the above Planning Application at 126 Warden Hill Road.

You will be aware that a previous application - Ref 1/0006/FUL - was made for an extension to the property on 15.01.14, and that approval was given on 14.03.14 for the work to be carried out to the applicants drawing 013.097.Planning 01 Revision D of 05.03.14.

The new application, drawing Williams Planning, shows that instead of the flat roof to the rear extension, there is now to be a tiled pitched roof. This is going back somewhat, to a design that was changed during the consultation period of the original application. We did state in our letter of objection at that time, that we would prefer a flat roof as this would be less obtrusive to us and be less visible from our property.

It does seem to be unfortunate that the new application goes back on something that has already been agreed on and that has been accepted by us. We would certainly prefer that if an extension is to be built then it be done to the plans which have already been thoroughly discussed, amended and subsequently approved.

124 Warden Hill Road Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL51 3EH

Comments: 2nd July 2014 Letter attached.

MRS. LUCY WHITE, PLANNING DERT., CHELTENHAM BORDUSH COUNCIL.
P.O. BOX 12, MUNICIPAL OFFICES
CHELTENHAM, GLSO IPP
YOUR REF: 14/00938/FUL

BUILT

Red 30 JUN 2014

ENVIRONMENT

124, WARDEN HILL RD... CHELTENHAM. GLSI 3EH.

Dear Mrs. White

PROPOSAL: Two STOREY Side - Single STOREY Rear Extr. @ 126 WARDENHILL RD, CHELTENHAM, Glos We are writing to object to the "New" Plan 4; of the above Extension for the following Reasons Reviously (Plans 1-3) were fassed + "Accepted" with a Flat Royled Extension by the Occupants of 126, Warden Hill RD.

Our the havious Objections you still hold a well horsefully be referred to together with these added ones

We are writing to object to the Newlan @ of the above Reas Extension of 126.

1 The agreement of Planing (1-3 Plans) were passed with a Flat Roofed Extension which "like finally submitted to - a Agreed to "Comprise" with in the agreement!

However, we feel very disappointed that the same "Original" - "New Plans", which
were incidently turned down before, have since been Re-Submitted - alkait
is a slightly revised form!

OUR MAIN ISSUE - THE OVERBEARING STRUCTURE STILL REMAINS!

Our objection is: The Great Height of the Structure Point of this Proposed Rear Extension added together with the "Massime Wide Scale Spread Outwoods" - which joins onto the Double Stoney Extr. along the Boundary would in Obtavisive of Unreasonable from our Side! It will be the Riggest Overall signed Extension" Spread Round in this Road!

One without the Otter-You could "ARGUABLY" accept?! But the Two Together - we feel is Unreasonable!

The Loss of light again - is our Mair Concern & Worry.

On a Concluding note: Our growe Fears still Remain a will continue to do so

with this "Massuse Takeover of Land" alongside our Boundary.
The Positioning of this being the "Highest Point" of Land on the downward Slope

The Positioning of this being the "Highest Point of Land on the downwood Slope of "Warden Hill" itself -"Is AN UNKNOWN PROBLEM" - as Water will always find it's own level! From Whatever Angle - with this Proposed land being taken over 9 or Built on - Leaving Less Space to Dearn Huny!

These are our Great Concerns a Worrie's for Future Happenings - on this Heavy Clay Incline - which has Hitherto - neither bean touched or Disturbed for the past 60 YRS